

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/084,608	02/26/2002		Norio Koma	349	4219
26021	7590	12/19/2003		EXAM	INER
HOGAN &		O1. 2.2.1	WANG, GEORGE Y		
SUITE 1900	)		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LOS ANGE	LOS ANGELES, CA 90071-2611				

DATE MAILED: 12/19/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/084,608	KOMA, NORIO	
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit	
	George Y. Wang	2871	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 ( after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	ION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ion.  s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AF	reply be timely filed  ly (30) days will be considered timely.  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. 8 133)	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	27 October 2003.		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for al closed in accordance with the practice un	llowance except for formal matt der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	ers, prosecution as to the merits is 0.11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims	•	,	
4) $\boxtimes$ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the applic	ation.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are with			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14-16</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exa	ıminer.		
10) $oxtimes$ The drawing(s) filed on 26 February 2002	is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ o	objected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection t	o the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: 1.□ Certified copies of the priority docu		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority docu</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B</li></ul>	ments have been received in Appriority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	received in this National Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor since a specific reference was included in the 37 CFR 1.78.	nestic priority under 35 U.S.C. ne first sentence of the specification	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application) ation or in an Application Data Sheet.	
a) The translation of the foreign languag			
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor reference was included in the first sentence	nestic priority under 35 U.S.C. of the specification or in an Ap	§§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific plication Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview S	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No.</li> </ul>	3) 5) Notice of In	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

----

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of Claims 14-16 in Response filed October 27, 2003 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

# Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on October 7, 2002 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

## Specification

3. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is too long. The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

### Claim Objections

Application/Control Number: 10/084,608 Page 3

Art Unit: 2871

4. Claim 14 is objected to because the recitation "and/or" fails to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Appropriate correction is required.

Note: For the purposes of examination, Examiner will read the limitation as "or."

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koma (U.S. Patent No. 5,608,556) in view of Yamada et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,880,797, from hereinafter "Yamada").
- As to claim 14, Koma discloses a vertically aligned liquid crystal display (fig. 4) comprising a vertically aligned liquid crystal layer (fig. 4, ref. 41) disposed between a plurality of pixel electrodes (fig. 4, ref 31) and a common electrode (fig. 4, ref. 32), where the orientation of the liquid crystal is controlled by an electric field (fig. 5, ref. 42), the common electrode comprises a plurality of orientation controllers (fig. 4, ref. 33a) formed in areas corresponding to each of the plurality of pixel electrodes,

Application/Control Number: 10/084,608

Art Unit: 2871

However, the reference fails to specifically disclose each of a plurality of the pixel electrodes divided by one or more slits or projections into two or more electrode regions, which are electrically connected and arranged in parallel with each other, and each of the orientation controllers associated with a corresponding pixel electrode and having portions extending along the direction in which one or more slits or projections extend where one of the orientation controllers is disposed between the two slits.

Yamada discloses each of a plurality of the pixel electrodes (fig. 3, ref. 201) divided by one or more slits or projections (fig. 3, ref. 20) into two or more electrode regions, which are electrically connected and arranged in parallel with each other, and each of the orientation controllers associated with a corresponding pixel electrode and having portions extending along the direction in which one or more slits or projections extend where one of the orientation controllers (col. 7, ref. 42-53) is disposed between the two slits.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have each of a plurality of the pixel electrodes divided by one or more slits or projections into two or more electrode regions, which are electrically connected and arranged in parallel with each other, and each of the orientation controllers associated with a corresponding pixel electrode and having portions extending along the direction in which one or more slits or projections extend where one of the orientation controllers is disposed between the two slits since one would be motivated to better control the axial-symmetric orientation axis (col. 4, lines 31-39) for improved excellent display characteristic with no display roughness (col. 7, lines 47-53).

Application/Control Number: 10/084,608

Art Unit: 2871

Page 5

Furthermore, a display with enhanced viewing angle characteristic and transmittance is provided with a reduced number of production steps (col. 4, lines 59-67).

8. Regarding claims 15-16, Koma discloses the vertically aligned LCD as recited above, however, the reference fails to specifically disclose orientation controllers with sloped projections extending along the longer edge of the electrode region and branching at both longitudinal ends of a corresponding one of the electrode regions toward the corner section of the electrode.

Yamada discloses orientation controllers with sloped projections extending along the longer edge of the electrode region and branching at both longitudinal ends of a corresponding one of the electrode regions toward the corner section of the electrode.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have orientation controllers with sloped projections extending along the longer edge of the electrode region (fig. 4a) and branching at both longitudinal ends of a corresponding one of the electrode regions toward the corner section of the electrode (fig. 4b) since one would be motivated to better control the axial-symmetric orientation axis (col. 4, lines 31-39) for improved excellent display characteristic with no display roughness (col. 7, lines 47-53). Furthermore, a display with enhanced viewing angle characteristic and transmittance is provided with a reduced number of production steps (col. 4, lines 59-67).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 9. examiner should be directed to George Y. Wang whose telephone number is 703-305-7242. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8 am - 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert H. Kim can be reached on 703-305-3492. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-308-7722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

gw

December 8, 2003

Page 6